

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>  <div style="text-align: center;"><b>Plaintiff,</b></div>  <div style="text-align: center;"><b>vs.</b></div>  <b>GABRIEL CHAPARRO,</b>  <div style="text-align: center;"><b>Defendant.</b></div>	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	<b>8:08CR266</b>          <b>ORDER</b>
---	--	--

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Gabriel Chaparro (Chaparro) (Filing No. 20). Chaparro seeks an additional sixty days in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. Chaparro has filed an affidavit wherein he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted; however, no further extensions will be granted absent a showing by affidavit that a denial of such a request would cause a manifest injustice.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

Defendant Chaparro's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 20) is granted. Chaparro is given until **on or before December 15, 2008**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **October 17, 2008 and December 15, 2008**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 17th day of October, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge